

REMARKS

This Amendment in an RCE is filed in response to the final Office Action of January 6, 2009 in which claims 1-10 were rejected.

Applicants have amended the claims to overcome the objections made by the Examiner. With these amendments "the base part" in this application is clearly defined to be a different part of the mobile phone than the base part in prior art document U.S. 5,541,813 (*Satoh et al*). Withdrawal of the novelty rejection is requested.

Regarding the obviousness rejection of claim 5, it depends from independent claim 3 and is patentable for at least the same reasons given above in connection with applicant overcoming the novelty rejection of claim 3. Withdrawal of the obviousness rejection of claim 5 is requested.

The objections and rejections of the Office Action of January 6, 2009, having been obviated by amendment or shown to be inapplicable, withdrawal thereof is requested and passage of claims 1-10 to issue is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Francis J. Maguire
Attorney for Applicant
Registration # 31,391

FJM/mo
WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS
& ADOLPHSON LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, Connecticut 06468
(203) 261-1234